

APPLICATION FOR UNITED STATES LETTERS PATENT

FOR

**DETECTION OF RECORDED DATA EMPLOYING INTERPOLATION WITH GAIN
COMPENSATION**

Inventor: Viswanath Annampedu
Pervez M. Aziz

Prepared by: Mendelsohn & Associates, P.C.
1515 Market Street, Suite 715
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102
(215) 557-6656

*

*

*

*

*

Certification Under 37 CFR 1.10

"Express Mail" Mailing Label No. EV140154189US

Date of Deposit September 30, 2003.

I hereby certify that this document is being deposited with the United States Postal Service's "Express Mail Post Office To Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Mary E. Caniz
(Name of person mailing)

Mary E. Caniz
(Signature of person mailing)

DETECTION OF RECORDED DATA EMPLOYING INTERPOLATION WITH GAIN COMPENSATION

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

5 Cross-Reference to Related Applications

This application is related to U.S. patent application no. 10/436,526, filed 05/13/03 as attorney docket no. Annampedu 6-11, the teachings of which are incorporated herein by reference.

Field of the Invention

10 The present invention relates to detection of data in a communications system, and, more particularly, to detection of servo repeatable run out (RRO) information from a channel.

Description of the Related Art

15 A Read channel integrated circuit (IC) is a component of a modem hard disk drive, such as a hard disk drive found in many PCs. A Read channel component converts and encodes data to enable the (e.g., magnetic) recording head(s) to write data to the disk and then read back the data accurately. The disks in a hard disk drive typically include many tracks containing encoded data, and each track comprises one or more of user (or "read") data sectors as well as "servo" data sectors embedded between the read sectors. The information of the servo sectors aids in positioning the magnetic recording head over a track on the disk so that the information stored in the read sectors may be retrieved accurately.

20 Repeatable run out (RRO) refers to a phenomenon that occurs due to an imperfect spindle upon which the disk rotates. An imperfect spindle might not allow the disk in the hard drive to spin properly at the disk's center. If the disk is not rotating at the center, then the track rotating under the head will not follow a circular trajectory, and hence the head might not be able to read the servo information properly. A similar phenomenon occurs when spindle imperfections were present at the time the servo information was written to the disk. Even though the disk may spin properly in a different hard disk drive while reading the servo information, since the information was not written properly on a circular track, the head might not be able to read the servo information accurately. Thus, there is a need for a mechanism to properly guide the head to follow the trajectory of the track. A RRO data field in the servo information serves this purpose.

25 FIGs. 1A-1D illustrate one form of RRO (termed a one "f" run out) that results from an imperfect spindle. FIG. 1A shows radial position versus error when the error is zero, corresponding to the head tracking in a circular trajectory as shown by the dashed circle 102 on disk 103 of FIG. 1B. As shown in FIG. 1C, the error for one "f" run out varies as a function of the radial position, but the error at a given

position repeats after one revolution of the disk. As shown in FIG. 1D, the one “F” run out results from the head tracking an oval path, shown by the dashed path 104 on disk 103. Since the error “repeats” itself from one revolution to another, techniques may be devised to compensate for the problem. By feeding positioning information about the “repeatable” error to servo control circuitry, the error may be corrected to position the head properly over the servo track. State of the art magnetic recording systems employ digital signal processing to detect servo data as opposed to older systems employing analog techniques.

FIG. 2 shows a conventional magnetic recording system of the prior art. Servo data is encoded by block encoders 201. Block encoders 201 may represent several different encoders associated with different fields of the servo data. The encoded servo information is written to the disk (or other recording medium) as servo sector information.

FIG. 3 shows the format of servo sector information 300. The servo sector information 300 comprises preamble 301 (e.g., a 2T pattern) that allows the system to recover the timing and gain of the written servo data. Preamble 301 may be followed by encoded servo address mark (SAM) 302, which is generally an identical identification address (fixed number of bits) for all servo sectors. SAM 302 may then be followed by encoded Gray data 303. Gray data 303 represents track number/cylinder information and may be employed as coarse positioning information for the magnetic head. Gray data 303 is followed by one or more burst demodulation fields 304. Burst demodulation fields 304 are employed as fine positioning information for the head over the track. Burst demodulation fields 304 are followed by RRO data field 305. Information in RRO data field 305 provides head positioning information to correct for RRO, which information is finer than that provided by the Gray data and coarser than that provided by the burst demodulation fields.

The format of RRO data field 305 is shown in FIG. 4. RRO data field 305 begins with DC erase 401, which is a predefined pattern that is generally either an all-zeros or an all-ones pattern. DC erase 401 is followed by RRO address mark (AM) 402, which is a bit pattern that is the same for all servo sectors. RRO AM 402 indicates when to start decoding RRO data and aids selection of the best sampling phase for decoding RRO data 403. RRO AM 402 is followed RRO data 403, which includes head-positioning information. RRO data 403 is followed by parity field 404, which includes parity bits employed for error detection/correction. Parity field 404 is followed by toggle bit 405, which brings the magnetization level back to whatever magnetization level the disk used in DC erase 401.

The servo preamble, SAM, Gray data, and burst demodulation fields are typically written by a servo track writer. However, the RRO data field following the last burst demodulation field is typically written by the read channel component. For detecting the servo preamble, SAM, Gray data, and

demodulation fields, a digital phase-locked loop (DPLL) acquires the proper sampling phase based on the timing information provided by the preamble. However, for RRO detection, it is not desirable to write a preamble for format efficiency reasons. Hence, a detector does not know *a priori* the proper sampling phase (timing) to read the RRO information. Thus, reading RRO information is an “asynchronous” data
5 detection process. Also, detection of the RRO address mark is prone to detection errors because the RRO detector may begin detection in the DC erase field without the proper sampling phase (i.e., there is no preamble to guide the timing loop). The number of detection errors increases when fewer bits are written as the RRO address mark for format efficiency reasons.

Returning to FIG. 2, the encoded servo information is read back by a magnetic recording head.

10 Together, the process of writing to, storing on, and reading from the disk by the recording head may be modeled as magnetic recording channel 202. Data read from the disk is referred to as readback data. The readback data is equalized to a desired target partial response by equalizer 203 comprising continuous time filter (CTF) 220 followed by discrete time, finite impulse response (FIR) filter 221. Sampling of the signal from CTF 220 (shown in FIG. 2 by switch 222) is synchronous using the timing information from a DPLL
15 (not shown in FIG. 2) when servo SAM, Gray, and demodulation burst data are read, but is asynchronous when RRO data is read. The output of equalizer 203 is digitized and quantized by A/D converter 204, whose output is shown as ‘Y’ values.

The Y values are applied to Viterbi detector 205, which is a partial-response maximum-likelihood (PRML) detector. Constraints imposed by the servo encoder of block encoder 201 may be employed in the
20 design of the Viterbi detector to decode the servo data optimally. The output of Viterbi detector 205 is applied to block decoder 207 to generate decoded SAM and Gray data. The output of Viterbi detector 205 (which Viterbi detector might employ a pruned trellis to enforce the coding constraints of the RRO encoder) is also applied to RRO detector 208. RRO detector 208 includes RRO address mark (AM) & best phase (BP) detector 209, which detects the RRO address mark and simultaneously detects the BP.
25 RRO data decoder 210 of RRO detector 208 employs the RRO AM and the BP to select samples for decoding into RRO data. The ‘Y’ values are also passed on to burst demodulator 206 to generate fine positioning information for the head over the track.

Other detectors employed for detection of servo information include peak detectors. In peak
30 detectors, location and polarity of the peak (which are dependent on servo encoder constraints) serve to enable decoding of the data. The output of the peak detector may also be fed to an RRO detector for detecting the RRO information. Both peak and Viterbi detectors give very good performance when they use properly sampled signals for detecting the bits. The performance of these detectors degrades

significantly when the samples are generated with timing errors.

An RRO encoder (such as included in block encoders 201) might transform each one-bit value of the RRO data into 3-bit values by repeating the one-bit value three times (i.e., “1” goes to “111” and “0” goes to “000”). If the constraint that no two transitions are adjacent is imposed on detection of encoded RRO data (termed a $d=1$ constraint and often employed for non-return-to-zero (NRZ) line coding), the trellis employed by Viterbi detector 205 is pruned to enforce this constraint (i.e., the constraint that neither “010” nor “101” bit patterns are allowed within the output bit stream of the Viterbi detector).

Since the sampling phase is not known when reading the RRO data field, a Viterbi detector is more prone to making errors. Due to the imposed $d=1$ constraint, only certain type of errors are possible. Since each bit is written thrice and because of the $d=1$ constraint in the Viterbi detector, the first and third bits are most likely to be affected, while the second (middle) bit is most likely to be preserved due to improper sampling phase. Identifying the middle bit detects the corresponding RRO data field. The RRO address mark is employed to identify which bits of the Viterbi detector output are the middle bits.

One technique of the prior art employed by RRO AM & BP detector 209 to identify middle bits, or the “middle phase” as the best phase, employs middle phase selection logic. For example, if 0101001 is the pattern used for RRO address mark, after encoding, this pattern becomes 000111000111000000111 (which is 21 bits). RRO sync and phase centering by detector 209 are accomplished by passing the data from the output of the pruned Viterbi detector through a sliding window. The data within the window is compared to the expected address mark for each phase of the bit ($3T/\text{bit}$, where T is the bit period). The RRO address mark is found when the number of mismatches is less than a threshold (or if the number of matching bits is greater than or equal to another threshold). For example, if no tolerance is allowed for errors in the read RRO address mark, then all 7 bits of the RRO address mark must match, and the threshold for the number of matching bits is set as 7. Phase centering (selecting which is the middle bit) is accomplished by evaluating the number of matches on adjacent phases.

Other techniques employ digital interpolation with bit peak detection to detect the series of peaks of the RRO AM on a peak-by-peak basis. One or more digital interpolators are employed to interpolate the asynchronous samples from the receiver’s A/D converter to generate one or more interpolated samples in between the asynchronous samples. Thus, each digital interpolator generates an interpolated sample corresponding to some phase relative to that of the sample timing of the A/D converter. One aspect of interpolation employs a phase-locked loop with phase error detection to generate synchronous interpolated samples. Such method is described in U.S. Patent No. 5,835,295 to Behrens, which is incorporated herein in its entirety by reference.

Since various RRO detectors search for peak values, these detectors employ ideal peak levels as *a priori* information for the detection process. However, the process of reading data from, for example, the recording media may introduce variations in the analog signal level. Variations in signal level, termed gain error, might result in a considerable difference between the ideal peak levels and observed peak levels when the RRO AM is found. Maximum likelihood detection suffers in performance when ideal peak levels are employed when searching for peaks in the presence of gain errors.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to data detection employing gain compensation with one or more digital interpolators to interpolate the asynchronous sample values into one or more interpolated sample sequences. One of either the asynchronous or interpolated sample sequences is selected that is closest in distance to an ideal sample sequence corresponding to, for example, a repeatable run-out (RRO) address mark (AM). In addition, a gain value is generated for each of the asynchronous and interpolated sample sequences. Once the RRO AM is detected, an RRO AM found signal is provided. Gain estimate values for either the selected asynchronous or interpolated sample sequences corresponding to the RRO AM found signal are averaged over a predefined number of detection events to generate a best gain error metric (BGEM). The BGEM is employed to adjust the gain of the asynchronous sample sequence.

In accordance with embodiments of the present invention, data is detected in a sample sequence read from a recording channel by the following. One or more interpolated sample sequences are generated from the read sample sequence, wherein each interpolated sample sequence has a different corresponding phase relative to the read sample sequence. A distance measure is generated between a portion of each sample sequence and an ideal sample sequence, wherein the ideal sample sequence corresponds to a sample sequence having peaks in the detected data. Gain error information is generated for the portion of each sample sequence; either the read sample sequence or one of the interpolated sample sequences is selected based on the minimum distance measures for use in detecting the data; and a best gain error metric (GEM) is generated for the selected sample sequence from the corresponding gain error information. The read sample sequence is adjusted based on the GEM.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Other aspects, features, and advantages of the present invention will become more fully apparent from the following detailed description, the appended claims, and the accompanying drawings in which:

FIG. 1A shows radial position versus error of a head following a trajectory over a rotating disk when the error is zero;

FIG. 1B shows the head tracking a circular trajectory for the graph of FIG. 1A;

FIG. 1C shows radial position versus error of a head following a trajectory over a rotating disk for
5 varying error that returns to zero after one revolution of the disk;

FIG. 1D shows a head tracking an oval path for the graph of FIG. 1C;

FIG. 2 shows a conventional magnetic recording system of the prior art;

FIG. 3 shows a format for servo sector information employed with the magnetic recording system
of FIG. 2;

10 FIG. 4 shows the format of the repeatable run out (RRO) data field of FIG. 3;

FIG. 5 shows a receiver including a repeatable run out (RRO) detector for detecting/decoding RRO data and employing gain compensation in accordance with exemplary embodiments of the present invention;

FIG. 6 shows a graph of a waveform including asynchronous sample points and interpolated
15 sample points provided by the digital interpolator block of FIG. 5;

FIG. 7 shows an exemplary implementation of the absolute value distance module of FIG. 5; and

FIG. 8 shows an exemplary method of setting a gain adjustment value in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention.

20

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

In accordance with exemplary embodiments of the present invention, a repeatable run out (RRO) detector of a receiver generates a gain adjustment value to compensate for variations in gain of an asynchronously sampled signal including RRO data. The receiver employs interpolation of the asynchronous sample sequence and generates gain estimates for both the asynchronous sample sequence
25 and one or more interpolated sample sequences. The RRO detector selects best phase samples from the asynchronous sample sequence and one or more interpolated sample sequences to detect the RRO data. The RRO detector also generates a gain compensation value from the gain estimates for the best phase samples. Gain compensation values for several RRO data detection events are combined to generate a gain adjustment value. The gain adjustment value is then employed to adjust subsequent asynchronous sample

sequences to improve RRO detection performance.

While the present invention is described for detection and decoding of encoded RRO data from a magnetic recording medium, the present invention is not so limited. One skilled in the art may readily extend the teachings herein to other forms of sampled data read from other types of recording media, such as optical recording media. In addition, while the following exemplary embodiments are described for asynchronously sampled servo RRO data detection, the techniques described herein may be employed for synchronously sampled servo RRO data detection as well.

Consequently, as an aid to understanding the present invention, RRO detection employing interpolation is first described with respect to an exemplary receiver, and then generation of a gain adjustment value in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention is described with respect to the exemplary receiver.

RRO Detection Employing Interpolation

FIG. 5 shows a receiver 500 including an RRO detector 501 for detecting and decoding RRO data field information in accordance with exemplary embodiments of the present invention. RRO detector 501 may detect and decode RRO data field information such as shown in FIG. 4, including RRO address mark (AM) and RRO data. Receiver 500 further comprises equalizer 510 and analog-to-digital (A/D) converter 511. Equalizer 510 applies equalization to a signal read from a magnetic recording medium, such as a magnetic recording disk, to compensate for effects of inter-symbol interference (ISI) and signal dispersion caused by the signal's passage through the magnetic recording channel (medium). The signal may be a series of symbols representing servo data, such as encoded SAM, Gray, and RRO data as shown in FIGs. 3 and 4. Equalizer 510 may include a switch (not shown in FIG. 5) to enable sampling of the analog signal. A/D converter 511 generates digital samples at a symbol rate T from the equalized signal from equalizer 510. Sampling of the signal from equalizer 510 might be synchronous using the timing information from a digital phase-locked loop (DPLL, not shown in FIG. 5) when servo SAM, Gray, and demodulation burst data are read, but might be asynchronous when RRO data is read.

The asynchronous sample sequence from A/D converter 511 is shown as a sequence of 'Y' values (e.g., $y(kT + \tau_0)|_K$, where k is a integer representing a discrete time value and K is the length of the asynchronous sample sequence) input to RRO detector 501. The sequence of 'Y' values from A/D converter 511 represents asynchronous sample values having an arbitrary phase for the RRO data field information. The sequence of 'Y' values is applied to gain adjustment logic (GAL) 503, which applies a gain adjustment value **gain_adjustment** to the sequence of 'Y' values to scale the 'Y' values in accordance with the present invention, as described subsequently. Gain-compensated 'Y' values are then

applied to RRO detector 501.

RRO detector 501 comprises digital interpolator block 502. Digital interpolator block 502 may comprise one or more digital interpolators, each interpolator interpolating the sequence of 'Y' values from A/D converter 511 to generate a corresponding interpolated sample sequence having a different phase from the phase of the asynchronous sample sequence. Digital interpolator block 502 provides M sample sequences (M a positive integer): the asynchronous samples from A/D converter 511 with phase τ_0 , and $M-1$ different interpolated sample sequences with phases τ_1 through τ_{M-1} , respectively.

RRO detector 501 detects the RRO address mark (AM) of the RRO data field (after the DC erase field) in one of the M sample sequences from digital interpolator block 502. RRO detector 501 employs an asynchronous maximum likelihood (AML) detection algorithm, such as a Viterbi algorithm, to detect the series of peaks of the RRO AM based on detection of the entire sequence of observed peaks, instead of on a peak-by-peak basis. AML detection of the RRO AM selects one of the M sample sequences from digital interpolator block 502 that is "closest" in, for example, Euclidean distance to the ideal RRO AM sample sequence. The relation "closest" may be expressed in different ways with respect to distance and a cost function, as described subsequently.

Once the RRO AM is detected, RRO detector 501 provides an RRO AM-found signal $r(k)$ as well as the selected one of the M sample sequences having the best phase (i.e., provides the best samples for detecting and decoding the RRO data). Best phase selection is described in U.S. Patent Application Ser. No. 10/342,153 to Viswanath Annampedu and Pervez Aziz entitled "Asynchronous Servo RRO Detection Employing Interpolation," filed on 1/14/03, which is incorporated herein in its entirety by reference.

Based on the RRO AM-found signal $r(k)$ and *a priori* information about the RRO encoder's coding constraints, RRO data decoder 504 decodes the selected one of the M sample sequences corresponding to the best phase into RRO data. Operation of digital interpolator block 502 is now described.

Digital interpolator block 502 receives, for example, four 'Y' values Y_0 , Y_1 , Y_2 , and Y_3 , which values are asynchronous samples from A/D converter 511 sampled at the symbol rate T . These samples need not correspond to peaks and/or zero crossings of the analog waveform input to A/D converter 511, and may be any samples that are spaced T apart in time. Using these four asynchronous samples, digital interpolator block 502 generates estimates for interpolated samples (e.g., Y_{11} , Y_{12} , and Y_{13}). For example, the following filtering operations of equations (1) through (3) generate interpolated samples Y_{11} , Y_{12} , and Y_{13} :

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{11} &= (-2*Y_3 + 5*Y_2 + 13*Y_1 - 2*Y_0)/16 & (1) \\ Y_{12} &= (-2*Y_3 + 9*Y_2 + 9*Y_1 - 2*Y_0)/16 & (2) \\ Y_{13} &= (-2*Y_3 + 13*Y_2 + 5*Y_1 - 2*Y_0)/16 & (3) \end{aligned}$$

5 Each of the filter operations represented by equations (1) through (3) is a digital interpolation. The term “16” in the denominator of equations (1)-(3) is a scaling term employed to adjust the interpolated samples values to be compatible with 6-bit sample values from the A/D converter. Compatible samples should resemble samples of an oversampled signal passed to the A/D converter. Other embodiments may scale the original read samples (e.g., the denominator of equations (1), (2), and (3) becomes “4”, and
10 samples Y_0 , Y_1 , Y_2 , and Y_3 are scaled by 4 prior to interpolation). Digital interpolator block 502 may comprise one digital interpolation filter for each of the sampling points to be interpolated (i.e., one interpolator per equation), though separate serial processing may also be employed (e.g., one interpolator implementing all equations). The present invention is not limited to these forms of filtering operations described in equations (1), (2), and (3), and one skilled in the art may employ other types of interpolation
15 to generate interpolated samples.

Interpolated sample Y_{11} corresponds to a sample that is spaced $T/4$ after the asynchronous sample Y_1 . Similarly, interpolated samples Y_{12} and Y_{13} are spaced $T/2$ and $3T/4$ after Y_1 , respectively. Thus, if sample Y_1 corresponds to a time kT , then sample Y_{11} corresponds to $Y_1(kT+T/4)$, sample Y_{12} corresponds to $Y_1(kT+T/2)$, sample Y_{13} corresponds to $Y_1(kT+3T/4)$, and sample Y_2 corresponds to
20 $Y_1(kT+T)$. FIG. 6 (not drawn to scale) shows a graph of a waveform applied to the A/D converter including i) asynchronous sample points $Y_0=20$, $Y_1=0$, $Y_2=-20$, and $Y_3=0$ and ii) interpolated sample points Y_{11} , Y_{12} , and Y_{13} . After accounting for inherent filter and implementation delays, digital interpolator block 502 generates a continuous stream of samples, which are $T/4$ apart from each other. Alternatively, over-sampling may be employed to generate a similar continuous stream of samples, but
25 involves increasing the speed (clock frequency) of A/D converter 511 by four.

The present invention is not limited to samples spaced $T/4$ apart, and additional interpolators may be employed to estimate sample points at other sampling instances. For example, to estimate samples with a $T/8$ resolution (i.e., spacing between two samples is $T/8$), seven interpolators are employed to generate interpolated samples used in addition to the asynchronous samples from A/D converter 511. Possible filter
30 coefficients for these seven digital interpolators are given in Table 1 below:

Table 1:

<u>Interpolation</u> at	Simple Digital Filters (Number of Taps: 4)			
$T/8$	-1/16	2/16	15/16	-1/16
$T/4$	-2/16	5/16	13/16	-2/16
$3T/8$	-2/16	7/16	11/16	-2/16
$T/2$	-2/16	9/16	9/16	-2/16
$5T/8$	-2/16	11/16	7/16	-2/16
$3T/4$	-2/16	13/16	5/16	-2/16
$7T/8$	-1/16	15/16	2/16	-1/16

The asynchronous sample sequence from A/D converter **511** and $M-1$ interpolated sample sequences are processed with an asynchronous maximum likelihood (AML) detection algorithm to detect the series of peaks of the RRO AM based on the entire sequence of observed peaks. The AML detection algorithm selects either i) the asynchronous samples from the A/D or ii) samples of one of the sets of interpolated samples that are “closest” in, for example, a distance measure to the ideal RRO AM sample sequence. The relation “closest” may be expressed in different ways with respect to the minimization of a cost function, such as minimized square of the Euclidean distance or minimized absolute value of distance, where the distance $e_m(k)$ between an observed sample $y(k)$ and ideal sample $\hat{y}(k)$ at time k is as given in equation (4):

$$e_m(k) = (y(k) - \hat{y}(k)) \quad (4)$$

For asynchronous sampling with period T , which is equivalent to the symbol period, the absolute value distance $ea_m(k)$ for one peak of the m th phase with respect to the phase of asynchronous samples at time k is as given in equation (5):

$$ea_m(k) = |y(kT + \tau_m) - \hat{y}(kT)|. \quad (5)$$

A given implementation of the AML detection algorithm, as well as a given implementation of RRO data decoder **504**, is based on the type of encoding employed by the RRO AM and RRO data encoder(s). For the RRO AM encoding, a given input bit may be repeated or translated to a codeword of length NT bits. In addition, the number B is defined as the total number of peaks in the RRO AM.

For example, if the RRO AM and data encoder is a 1/4 encoder that receives an input bit and generates four output bits, such as the encoding: “0” becomes “0011” and “1” becomes “1100”, then the peaks are spaced $4T$ apart. Thus, the sum $da_m(k)$ of the absolute value distances of the m th phase of sampling accounting for all B peaks spaced $4T$ apart is as given in equation (6):

$$5 \quad da_m(k) = \sum_{b=0}^{B-1} |y(kT + \tau_m - 4Tb) - \hat{y}(kT - 4Tb)| \quad (6)$$

The minimum summed absolute value distance is given in equation (7):

$$\min_{m,k} da_m(k) \quad (7)$$

where $\min_{m,k}(\bullet)$ is the mathematical minimum of “ \bullet ” computed for all m sequence phases at time k .

10 A detector is generally designed to operate with a given performance level, which may often be related to a given probability of error in detected values. Consequently, for a given implementation, RRO detector **501** selects either the asynchronous sample sequence or one of the interpolated sample sequences provided that the minimized summed absolute value distance is less than a predefined threshold thr , as given in equation (8):

$$\min_{m,k} da_m(k), \text{ such that } da_m(k) < thr, \quad (8)$$

15 where threshold thr might be determined through calculation or through observation of real and/or simulated systems. A variable $t(k)$ is defined as a tentative address mark found signal. Initially, the variable $t(k)$ is set to “0”, and when $da_m(k) < thr$, the variable $t(k)$ is set to “1”.

For example, digital interpolator block **502** may employ three interpolators. Thus, $m=\{0,1,2,3\}$, and digital interpolator block **502** provides four sample sequences with phases τ_m : the asynchronous samples from A/D converter **511** with phase τ_0 , and three different interpolated sample sequences with phases $\tau_1=T/4$, $\tau_2=T/2$, and $\tau_3=3T/4$, respectively. RRO detector **501** evaluates expression (6) over all sample sequences, declaring a tentative RRO AM detection when expression (8) is true.

25 RRO detector **501** may or may not set the RRO AM-found signal $r(k)$ based on the tentative decision. For some embodiments, the first occurrence of $da_m(k)$ falling below threshold thr might not correspond to a sample sequence of phase τ_m being the best phase sample sequence. Consequently, for some embodiments, RRO detector **501** evaluates equation (8) over all sample sequences during another

clock cycle. The RRO AM-found signal $r(k)$ is set based on the decision rule of equation (9):

$$r(k) = \begin{cases} t(k) & \text{if } da_{m_k}(k) \leq da_{m_{k-1}}(k-1) \\ t(k-1) & \text{if } da_{m_k}(k) > da_{m_{k-1}}(k-1) \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

where m_k corresponds to the phase number of the minimum summed absolute value distance at time k , and m_{k-1} corresponds to the phase number of the minimum summed absolute value distance at time $k-1$. As
5 would be apparent to one skilled in the art, equation (6) may be modified to account for peaks that are more or less than $4T$ apart, as shown in the expression of equation (10):

$$da_m(k) = \sum_{b=0}^{B-1} |y(kT + \tau_m - S_b Tb) - \hat{y}(kT - S_b Tb)| \quad (10)$$

where S_b is the separation of the b th peak sample from the prior peak sample. For example, a peak
separation of $5T$ (and $S_b=5$) may be advantageous for enhanced detection of E2PR4 target channel
10 responses.

Returning to FIG. 5, RRO detector 501 processes four sample sequences from digital interpolator
block 502 : the asynchronous samples ($y(kT + \tau_0)$) from A/D converter 511, and three different interpolated
sample sequences ($y(kT + \tau_1)$, $y(kT + \tau_2)$, and $y(kT + \tau_3)$). RRO detector 501 processes the four sample
sequences in accordance with the relations of equations (6) through (9), using the ideal sample values for
15 $\hat{y}_b(k)$, where subscript b denotes the peak number. Table 2 gives relatively ideal sample values for
 $\hat{y}_b(k)$ at peak values for an EPR4 channel response (i.e., EPR4 ([5 5 -5 -5])), where each bit (row) is
spaced T apart in time. RRO detector 501 processes this sequence to detect the peak values such as given
in Table 2.

Table 2

Bits	Ideal Y
0	
0	
1	
1	20
0	
0	
1	
1	20
1	
1	
0	

0	-20
1	
1	
0	
0	-20
0	
0	
1	
1	20
1	
1	
0	
0	-20

RRO detector **501** comprises four absolute value distance (ABS) modules **551-554**, minimum (MIN) calculator **555**, threshold detector **556**, delay **558**, and decision logic **557**. Each of ABS modules **551-554** implements the summed absolute value distance calculation for a corresponding sample sequence as given in equation (6). An implementation for sample sequence phase τ_0 (e.g., the asynchronous sample sequence from A/D converter **511**) is shown in FIG. 7 as ABS module **551**; ABS modules **552-554** for interpolated sample sequences having phases τ_1 - τ_3 may be implemented in a similar manner. In the figures, addition is shown by a “ \oplus ” element (a combiner, e.g., as either an adder or a subtractor), absolute value is shown by an “ABS(\bullet)” element, and delay “D” of one sample time is shown by a D element (e.g., a flip-flop).

Returning to FIG. 5, ABS modules **551-554** generate summed absolute value distances $da_0(k)$ through $da_3(k)$ for the four sample sequences, and MIN calculator **555** determines the minimum value of summed absolute value distances $da_0(k)$ through $da_3(k)$, implementing a calculation similar to that of equation (7). The minimum summed absolute value distance $da_0(k)$ through $da_3(k)$ is applied to threshold detector **556** to determine whether the minimum summed absolute value distances at time k is less than the threshold thr , implementing a calculation similar to that of equation (8). Delay **558** is employed to generate the minimum summed absolute value distance at time $k-1$. Decision logic **557** compares the minimum values at time k and $k-1$ to generate the RRO AM-found signal $r(k)$ to indicate that the RRO AM is detected, implementing a calculation similar to that of equation (9). When the RRO AM found-signal $r(k)$ indicates that the RRO AM is detected, the sample sequence having the phase τ_m corresponding to the minimum summed absolute value distance might correspond to the best phase (BP), and the corresponding best samples may be employed for RRO data detection and decoding by RRO data

decoder **504**.

While the present invention is described herein for selection of asynchronous or interpolated sample sequences using a distance metric such as absolute value distance, the present invention is not so limited. One skilled in the art might extend the teachings herein to receivers using squared Euclidean distance, or other types of distance metrics.

Gain Compensation

Gain compensation in accordance with exemplary embodiments of the present invention is now described. Gain compensation generates a gain adjustment value by monitoring a gain error measure, such as the sum of the absolute values of asynchronous samples from the A/D converter corresponding to one or more of the peaks of the RRO AM peak detection for one or more RRO AM peak detection events. The gain errors measured over many RRO AM peak detection events are combined to generate a median, average, weighted median, or weighted average value. The following embodiments are described for an average value, but may be readily extended to other combinations. For an ideal case in the example described previously, the average of the absolute sum of the 6 peak levels in the RRO AM field is 120 (20*6, from Table 2). This average 120 of the absolute sum is the ideal gain measure g_{ideal} ; however, if the gain measure value is not equal to 120, then the asynchronous samples from the A/D converter might be adjusted through increased gain or attenuation. For the exemplary embodiment shown in FIG. 5, GAL **503** either multiplies (increases gain) or divides (attenuates) the asynchronous sample values for gain compensation. Other embodiments may adjust the analog signal prior to sampling and A/D conversion.

Each of ABS modules **551-554** generates gain error information in addition to the summed absolute value distance metric. The gain error information $gi_m(k)$ of the m th phase of sampling accounting for all B peaks spaced $4T$ apart is as given in equation (11):

$$gi_m(k) = \sum_{b=0}^{B-1} |y(kT + \tau_m - 4Tb)| \quad (11)$$

which, as shown in FIG. 7 for the described example, ABS module **551** may generate for phase τ_0 ($m=0$) when RRO detector **501** uses six peaks in the RRO AM field for detecting the RRO AM. One skilled in the art may extend the algorithm if peaks occur more or less than $4T$ apart.

Given the tentative RRO AM found signal $t(k)$ (as described above with respect to equation (9)) and the gain error information $gi_m(k)$ for all phases m , gain compensation finds the maximum over m of the gain error information for every period T , which maximum is termed $mgi(k)$. In Fig. 5, the maximum $mgi(k)$ is generated by maximum (MAX) calculator **520** based on the values $gi_0(k)$ through $gi_3(k)$ from ABS modules **551-554**.

The maximum gain error information values corresponding to each time a tentative address mark is found (i.e., when $t(k)$ is 1) are recorded for L occurrences (L is a positive integer and may be programmable). For example, the maximum gain error information value for the current and the next two consecutive time periods might be recorded. Of these maximum gain error information values $mg_i(k)$, $mg_i(k+1)$, $mg_i(k+2)$, the maximum value $bgi(k)$ of the maximum gain error information values is calculated, and maximum value $bgi(k)$ may be termed the best gain error metric (BGEM). In Fig. 5, the BGEM $bgi(k)$ is generated by BGEM calculator 521 using maximum gain error information values $mg_i(k)$, $mg_i(k+1)$, $mg_i(k+2)$ from MAX calculator 520. BGEM calculator 521 includes BEGM module 522 for calculating the maximum of values $mg_i(k)$, $mg_i(k+1)$, $mg_i(k+2)$, delays 531 and 532 to hold $mg_i(k+1)$ and $mg_i(k+2)$, and delays 533 and 534 to hold values of $t(k)$ corresponding to $mg_i(k+1)$ and $mg_i(k+2)$.

Gain compensation in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention adjusts the gain of the asynchronous samples on an incremental basis in discrete steps. Gain compensation employs the actual gain error, g_error , to determine the direction (increase gain or attenuation) and the step size of the gain adjustment. Gain compensation employs upper and lower tolerances within which the actual gain error, g_error , should be maintained. The upper and lower tolerances may be specific for a given implementation, and may be determined through calculation and/or simulation. As would be apparent to one skilled in the art, other methods of gain compensation might be used that employ the actual gain error, g_error , such as by scaling the input samples by a value directly based on the value g_error .

Returning to FIG. 5, gain value logic 523 generates a gain adjustment (step) value **gain_adjustment** based on the actual gain error, g_error , which is derived, as described subsequently, from $bgi(k)$ and the ideal gain measure g_ideal . The value **gain_adjustment** may be stored in a 2-bit register, which register is initialized at zero. FIG. 8 shows a method of generating a value for **gain_adjustment** as may be employed by gain value logic 523 of FIG. 5.

At step 801, the BGEM $bgi(k)$ might be averaged over N successful RRO events (where N is a positive integer, may be predetermined off-line, and may be programmable) to provide $bgi_{av}(k)$. A successful RRO event is defined as an event where the RRO AM found signal $r(k)$ is one (per equation (9) above). At step 802, given the average best gain error information metric $bgi_{av}(k)$, the actual gain error g_error is derived using the ideal gain measure, g_ideal given in equation (12):

$$g_ideal = \sum_{b=0}^{B-1} |\hat{y}(kT - 4Tb)| \quad (12)$$

where g_{ideal} is the absolute sum of the peak levels in the RRO AM field. The actual gain error, g_{error} , is the difference between $bgi(k)$ and g_{ideal} (e.g., $bgi(k) - g_{ideal}$). For the described example of an EPR4 [1 2 0 -2 -1] target response, and the peak values spaced $4T$ apart as given in Table 2, g_{ideal} is 120.

5 At step 803, a test determines whether g_{error} is greater than the value of an upper tolerance. If the test of step 803 determines that the g_{error} is greater than the value of an upper tolerance, at step 806, the value of $gain_adjustment$ is incremented by 1. At step 807, the value of $gain_adjustment$ is limited to ± 2 , which limitation may be employed to prevent over compensation of the gain. At step 808, the value of $gain_adjustment$ is provided.

10 If the test of step 803 determines that the g_{error} is not greater than the value of an upper tolerance, at step 804, a test determines whether g_{error} is less than or equal to the value of a lower tolerance. If the test of step 804 determines that the g_{error} is less than or equal to the value of the lower tolerance, at step 805, the value of $gain_adjustment$ is decremented by 1. From step 805, the method advances to step 807.

15 If the test of step 804 determines that g_{error} is greater than the value of the lower tolerance, the method advances to step 808, since the current, actual gain error is within the tolerance bounds.

The value of $gain_adjustment$ is provided to, for example, GAL 503 (FIG. 5), which adjusts the value of the asynchronous samples. For the exemplary method of FIG. 8 and peak values of Table 2, Table 3 summarizes the adjustments made by GAL 503.

20 Table 3

Value of $gain_adjustment$	Adjust Asynchronous Sample By:
-1	Multiply by 1.125
-2	Multiply by 1.25
1	Multiply by 0.875
2	Multiply by 0.75

While the exemplary embodiments are described for samples spaced $T/4$ apart with a $1/4$ encoded RRO AM, the present invention is not so limited. One skilled in the art may modify the teachings herein for 1) any number of interpolators and 2) any RRO address mark pattern containing positive and negative

peaks (e.g., a pattern characterized by transitions). In addition, while the present invention is described employing an EPR4 ([1 2 0 -2 -1]) target partial channel response with peak values of 20 and -20, the present invention is not so limited. One skilled in the art may extend the teachings herein to other target channel responses of different peak values.

5 A receiver employing one or more embodiments of the present invention may have substantially improved detection performance for asynchronously sampled servo RRO information. For some exemplary implementations, the receiver may experience greater than 2dB improvement in RRO detection rate when compared to systems without gain error control. A receiver using digital interpolation and gain compensation replaces higher speed (and higher cost) sampling circuitry that may be employed to over-
10 sample the analog signal while providing substantially equivalent or better performance.

 The present invention can be embodied in the form of methods and apparatuses for practicing those methods. The present invention can also be embodied in the form of program code embodied in tangible media, such as floppy diskettes, CD-ROMs, hard drives, or any other machine-readable storage medium, wherein, when the program code is loaded into and executed by a machine, such as a computer,
15 the machine becomes an apparatus for practicing the invention. The present invention can also be embodied in the form of program code, for example, whether stored in a storage medium, loaded into and/or executed by a machine, or transmitted over some transmission medium, such as over electrical wiring or cabling, through fiber optics, or via electromagnetic radiation, wherein, when the program code is loaded into and executed by a machine, such as a computer, the machine becomes an apparatus for
20 practicing the invention. When implemented on a general-purpose processor, the program code segments combine with the processor to provide a unique device that operates analogously to specific logic circuits.

 It will be further understood that various changes in the details, materials, and arrangements of the parts which have been described and illustrated in order to explain the nature of this invention may be made by those skilled in the art without departing from the principle and scope of the invention as
25 expressed in the following claims.